• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/899,458	LAFFEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mylinh T Tran	2174
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Mylinh T Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>J.B. Kraft</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 August 2004	Time: <u>10:00AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE The Examiner suggested to amend claims 1, 5, 7, 11, 13 and 17		-
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
BA HUYNYI DRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examine/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)